

## CHECK LIST FOR REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES<sup>1</sup>

Deliverable title	A Handbook for Civil Sector Training about Natural Disasters - UNI, UNID, KPA
Work package	WP3.3 Development of trainings' content corresponding educational materials and selection of teaching staff
Author	Kurt Glock (BOKU)
Date	16.02.2018

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This questionnaire concerns quality issues of NatRisk upon completion of each deliverable. Reviewer appointed by QAC completes the form and submits it by email to WP leader, Task leader and Project Coordinator (natriskuni@gmail.com). The achieved results will be useful for the project's risk management. They will also make part of the QAC final report, as well as of the Coordinator's progress and final reporting to EACEA.





Assurance point	Issues to be	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
	addressed			
Compliance with	Does the	Xes		
NatRisk objectives	<i>deliverable comply</i> <i>with the overall</i>	☐ No		
	objectives of the			
	project?	Partially		
Compliance with the	Does the			
specfic objectives of	deliverable comply	🔀 Yes		
the WP	with the WP	🗌 No		
	Objectives as	Partially		
	specified in the WP			
	description?			
Correspondence	Does the	$\nabla$ $\mathbf{v}$		
with the description	deliverable	X Yes		
of work of the	correspond with	No		
relevant activity	the activity	Partially		
	description as			
	specified in the			
	Application Form?			
Compliance with	Is the deliverable	Xes	A different format	
the deliverables	presented using the	☐ No	is used, but it	
format	Project's		seems to be	
	deliverable format – Annex A?	Partially	adequat for this kind of report.	
Adequacy of	Examples of		Kind of Teport.	
complementary	complementary	🔀 Yes		
information	info:	No		
	- Sources used,	Partially		
	- Bibliography,			
	- List of contacts,			
	- Methodology used.			
Adequacy of	Level of written	Excellent		
written language	English			
		Adequate		
		Poor		
Overall assessment				
and suggestions for				
improvement				
Deadline for submiss	ion of amended vers	ion of the deliv	erable	